

Teacher Housing	Alternate 1: Demolition + sell for single-family residential infill	Alternative 2: Demolition + KCPS hold site for future school use	What do you like about the teacher housing proposal?	What concerns or questions do you have about the teacher housing proposal?	What additional feedback/comments/questions would you like to share with Kansas City Public Schools as it completes its evaluation on redevelopment options for the former Bryant School site?	Neighborhood	I have a child(ren) who attends a KCPS school	I have a child(ren) who attends a charter school	I have a child(ren) who attends a private school
				It is clearly a thinly disguised attempt by the KCPS to construct subsidized multi-family residential housing in a single-family zoned residential neighborhood. This would be illegal and in violation of current deed restrictions on the property as well as KCAD zoning ordinances. KCPS's proposals are illusions of choices, or Hobson's choice, at best, if you will, that as a nearby homeowner, I can't support. I also have very serious concerns regarding parking, security and traffic issues that do not comport with single family zoning restrictions and ordinances of the City of KCAD. Simply stated, I am strongly opposed to all aspects of the Bryant school proposal. I HOPE THAT THE PUBLIC WILL STILL BE ABLE TO WALK THROUGH THE BUILDING GROUNDS, RATHER THAN BE FORCED TO WALK AROUND THE ENTIRE BLOCK.					
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Strongly Support	I don't like anything about the teacher housing proposal.	IT SERVES A NEED AND GIVES A PURPOSE TO AN OLD BUILDING, WHICH IS AN ASSET IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD.		Countryside	N	N	N
Strongly Support			I like that the city is actually considering the fact that their employees can not afford to live in the city, as many of their jobs mandate, with the extremely low salaries they offer. My husband is a Kansas City employee and if we only relied on his income we also could not afford to live in this part of the city nor could we afford the hefty private school tuition we pay because KCPS is not up to national standards. It's good approach to assisting teachers with building their own wealth.			Countryside	N	N	N
Oppose Strongly Support	Strongly Support Support	Strongly Oppose Oppose		How will this be managed? Who will enforce that only teachers can live here? Will it be opened up for other city employees? Parking may become an issue. I am very concerned about the long-term consequences of bonds for long-deferred maintenance on its school facilities. I am leery of KCPS being a good landlord for housing, since these facilities need multi-million-dollar worth of maintenance. Even hiring a manager for a teacher housing project does not satisfy my concerns about the ongoing maintenance and upkeep needed for the teacher housing project. If this project was truly a business decision, I seriously doubt that any real estate investor would provide more funds to a landlord who is not maintaining their current properties. (2)I am concerned about the quality of housing that will be provided because I do not want sub-quality construction being done in my neighborhood. Interested developers are likely "salvaging" at the prospect of having empty single-family lots available for building market rate housing. (3)I am concerned that donated funds may become inadequate to maintain the teacher housing portion of the property to the degree that the property deteriorates and becomes a neighborhood eyesore. (4)The contract with the selected developer needs to clearly state that substantial construction work will not be accepted and that the developer must meet stringent construction standards or be fined and face financial consequences in addition to losing money already spent on the project. The contract also needs to specify that the conversion of the building must be completed and accepted by KOP BEFORE any single-family construction, including land grading, etc. can be started. (5)The project needs to be overseen by a committee of not more than four people in the construction trades who are highly respected in their field of converting school properties into housing. The fifth person would	Single family residential makes the most sense in this area. NA	Armour Fields Wornall Homestead	N Y	N Y	N N
Support	Neutral	Strongly Oppose	I understand the difficulty of finding housing at a price teachers can afford. I will support the proposal for Teacher Housing with the caveat that, should the district fail to implement this project or, in the future, decide to discontinue offering teacher housing, the land reverts back to being R-6, single-family residential. At no time would I ever support multi-family housing for those other than teachers. I also would like for the eastern section to be developed as single-family housing, not townhomes, condos, or some other multi-family housing option.		See my answers in Question #8. It needs to be utilized and cleaned up, and this sounds like a good way to do it.	Wornall Homestead	N	N	N
Strongly Support	Strongly Support	Oppose	I like it. The building needs to be used for something and this would be a great idea.	My concerns relate to management. Please don't take offense, but the district doesn't have the best track record with maintaining properties. It would be imperative that an outside company manage and maintain the facility.		Wornall Homestead	N	N	N
Support	Neutral Support	Neutral Oppose	I like the idea of being able to attract teachers to the district by offering affordable housing in a lovely neighborhood. Bring life and activity back to that beautiful building.	Nothing! It doesn't make sense	There is no way you regulate who lives in a housing unit. This building could be used in a better way.	Wornall Homestead Brookside	N N	N N	N N
Strongly Oppose Strongly Support Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose Neutral Strongly Oppose Oppose	Strongly Oppose Strongly Oppose Oppose	Nothing I'm a teacher and a single mom. I can't afford to buy a house on my own and rent is ridiculous. Provides housing for teachers in proximity to KCPS schools. Helping attract & retain school teachers.	Construction and operating operations.	I would hate to see it demolished.	Country Club Homes Other Waldo Wornall Homestead	N N Y N	N Y N N	Y N N N
Strongly Support			I like the idea of repurposing a building that has some historical significance for the community by using it to support attracting and retaining talent in the teaching field for our community. We need this desperately. We've lived on 57th Street for 30 years and we've said that the Bryant school building has been abandoned for so long. Teachers deserve a decent place to live.	I think that we need to make sure that the cost of the project will allow us to charge below market rent to, again, attract and retain qualified teachers for our children.		Other	N	N	N
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose		Parking? After watching KPS NOT maintain the property or land around it I don't trust them to take care of it in any capacity. It is an eyesore for the neighborhood		Country Club Homes	N	N	N
Strongly Oppose	Support	Support	I don't think the location makes sense for rental area of any sort	Lower income family housing will not be good for the community		Other	N	N	Y
Strongly Oppose Strongly Oppose Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose Oppose Neutral	Strongly Support Neutral Neutral	Nothing Nothing	Low income housing. Crime. Property value decline for surrounding homes.	None	Brookside Country Club Homes	N N	N Y	N Y
Strongly Oppose Strongly Support	Support Strongly Oppose	Support Strongly Oppose	Nothing. Doesn't make sense for the surrounding neighborhood. Affordable housing for teachers working in area	Is the building viable, e.g. plumbing, electrical, foundation? How much will it impact car traffic?	Nothing about Bryant, only hope Southwest HS is in someone's sight for repurposing.	Country Club Homes Waldo	N N	N N	Y N
Strongly Support	Oppose	Oppose	Purpose and use of building optimal for providing quality living space for reasonable cost.	This will be opposed by all surrounding neighborhoods- you are wasting your time pursuing low income housing.		Armour Hills	N	N	N
Strongly Oppose	Support	Neutral	Nothing. Inappropriate for neighborhood. Saving the school building; not having an empty building in the neighborhood; helping solve teacher recruitment problem	Low income housing. Crime. Devaluation of property values.		Ward Estates	N	N	Y
Strongly Support	Oppose	Strongly Oppose		On-site parking...need to provide plenty ACTUALLY affordable. If you're going to charge more than 40% of the average teacher's income for rent, then reconsider if you're doing this for a pat on the back or to actually help teachers in our community.		Countryside	N	N	N
Strongly Support Strongly Support Strongly Support	Oppose Strongly Oppose Support	Oppose Strongly Oppose Support	Teachers deserve affordable housing in a desirable neighborhood. Better teachers in our neighborhood means better schools, better education, and a better community. Affordable housing for our teachers is a must! It would help teachers.	None None, I think it is a great idea.		Brookside Brookside Other	N N N	N N N	N N N
Strongly Support Strongly Support Strongly Support	Neutral Neutral Strongly Oppose	Support Strongly Oppose Neutral	Solves a couple of problems - makes teaching in KCPS more attractive and has the potential to integrate the neighborhood We need good teachers and this housing shortage is detrimental to it. It adds density to the neighborhood with a common group and community need. Fills a critical need in our community and brings additional valued community members to our neighborhood	How last could it be done. How many housing units?		Other Brookside Brookside	N N N	N N N	N N N
Strongly Support	Neutral	Oppose		Why don't we pay teachers a reasonable salary? Why would this be for teachers only? What makes you think teachers want to live with the people who work with them? I honestly am less concerned with how it gets paid for, as long as it qualifies for tax incentives. I am very much in support of 2025 bond issue and this was part of that package, that would be great.	I love this idea and what it means for our neighborhood!	Country Club Homes	N	N	Y
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	I like the idea of repurposing the building for housing, but why is no one addressing the fact that we don't value and pay teachers enough to live like the middle class? This is offensive to teachers and says an immense amount about the values of American culture.	I think the district should concentrate on educating students. The district should not be in the landlord business. As a former educator, I know that I would not like to live 24 hours a day with my fellow teachers no matter how wonderful they may be. Perhaps you could set up a fund from the sale of this property to give vouchers to help teachers with housing. I'm certain that these educated professionals can find appropriate housing accommodations.	This should go beyond just for teachers. If it's being focused on teachers, will it only be for KCPS teachers? I think this is bizarre and offensive.	Wornall Homestead	N	Y	Y
Strongly Oppose Strongly Support	Neutral Strongly Oppose	Oppose Neutral	Nothing good for teachers and keeps a nice building around -Making use of the building, I dislike having vacant buildings sit idle -Great for attracting new, young teachers!	I think the district should concentrate on educating students. The district should not be in the landlord business. As a former educator, I know that I would not like to live 24 hours a day with my fellow teachers no matter how wonderful they may be. Perhaps you could set up a fund from the sale of this property to give vouchers to help teachers with housing. I'm certain that these educated professionals can find appropriate housing accommodations.	This idea of housing teachers has too many potential problems. It is not a good idea for the teachers, the district or the neighborhood.	Country Club Homes Brookside	N N	N N	N N
Support		Neutral		Ingress-Egress and potential impact to traffic on Wornall As a retired teacher, I would hate living among my coworkers. Just pay teachers!! Would want to make sure there is some demand and people would live there.	Would love to see some of the green space on the northeast corner remain!	Countryside	N	Y	N
Strongly Support	Oppose	Neutral	It's a beautiful building and fits in the neighborhood. Think it would be appropriate to develop it specifically for teachers to allow rent with average salaries. Great retention tool, especially for young teachers just getting started. Provide housing option for the time they remain with the district.	Doesn't seem like a good idea for school district to get into being a landlord	Self and increase teacher pay via investment or some other means	Other	N	N	N
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Not know EVERY teachers situation, I can only speak on behalf of my students teachers. BOTH of whom have to work multiple jobs and side gigs to afford the basic housing. Most of which is far outside the KCPS district because that is the only affordable option. Use existing charming structure and support teachers with affordable housing!	Will the units be accessible to ALL KCPS teachers? Will they be 2+ bedrooms as most of our teachers have families?		Morningside	N	N	N
Oppose	Oppose	Neutral				Other	N	N	N
Strongly Support Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose Strongly Oppose	Oppose Strongly Oppose	1. Reuse of a historic property when so many are being torn down. 2. Potential for historic designation and therefore possible tax credits. 3. Success of other conversions of school buildings into housing--although I think this is interesting to make teacher housing from a school. 4. KCPS does need to improve teacher hiring and retention so if housing is a real black, let's remove the block! 5. Teachers deserve affordable places to live--I support as long as it is truly affordable. 6. If owned by KCPS, could be a way for KCPS to get supplemental funding from rent, while possibly subsidizing housing costs. 7. Could provide camaraderie amongst teachers. 8. Could present options for carpool arrangements to different schools			Brookside	Y N	N N	N N
Oppose	Support	Oppose	The only benefit would be to not have a vacant building damaging deterioration. I would prefer the property to be sold to private developer to renovate or tear down. The KCPS does not manage their properties well.	Parking and traffic. Poor management by KCPS. How would the subsidized housing be funded? Our taxpayer \$\$?		Wornall Homestead	N	N	N
Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	I don't see the benefit of it and don't really think teachers want to all live together. We need affordable housing. The building structure is nice looking. Teachers would be great additions to the community and would make positive contributions. I live nearby and would love to see a nice historic building brought back to life. Affordable	See above	It's a beautiful school with a wonderful history and feel like it should not be demolished but maybe sold to a developer who could do something with it like they did at Westport HS. There is a large stock of single family homes in the neighborhood. Rehabilitating the school would encourage investment in the existing homes.	Brookside	N	N	N
Strongly Support Support	Oppose Neutral	Strongly Oppose Oppose		I see it as all good and a worthy use of public funds.		Morningside Brookside	N N	N Y	N N
Strongly Support Strongly Support	Oppose Oppose	Support	Affordable housing for teachers within the district boundaries, close to shops and restaurants Ability to recruit and retain young teachers.	Concerned that rent would be high or increase each year in a way that first year teachers would be priced out None	Thanks for your creative solutions	Waldo Wornall Homestead	N N	N N	Y N
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Oppose		Housing maintenance, allowance of pets. Would this be open to only KCPS teachers? Or to any teacher at a school in the city?		Other	N	N	N
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	We need to be competitive in recruiting teachers. The future of KC is at risk.	Teachers at Charter schools MUST be eligible for this housing. Do not let this proposal. Concerning this building will become trashed if created as low income housing for district employees not just teachers.	Open the housing to key support staff as well.	Brookside	N	Y	N
Strongly Oppose	Neutral	Oppose	Do not like the proposal.			Brookside	N	N	N
Strongly Support Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose Neutral	Strongly Oppose Neutral	Teachers are vastly underpaid. They deserve a nice housing option in a safe neighborhood like this. Nothing	I'd like to see it detailed out since I haven't read it.	Action to make positive use of this space and building is long, long overdue.	Countryside Ward Estates	N N	N Y	Y Y

					Charter and private schools in KC can find buildings even if KCPS does not want to sell this one to them. KCPS will get the best return on their dollar if they sell this building to a private/Charter school and then use those funds to build teacher housing on another plot of land. This current plan seems to be the most expensive route of creating teaching housing. All because KCPS is being stubborn about a private or Charter school using this building to teach children. Don't let stubbornness get in the way of practicality. I think teacher housing seems like a good recruitment plan. Difficult to administer at best. Housing needs to be at fair market and its not zoned for density. Brookside N Y N
Neutral	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Restoring the building	See box below	Brookside N Y N
	Strongly Support	Strongly Support	nothing	Difficult to administer at best. Housing needs to be at fair market and its not zoned for density. Brookside N N N	
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Oppose	Building is not designed or built for multi-family use.	Why try to adopt the building to subsidized housing for teachers? Cost would be prohibitive. Brookside Y Y N	
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	I hate it. You are essentially putting in low income housing that while might benefit teachers, it's for all low income. It's a creative way to get rid of KCPS school and also lower our house values	Low income housing and the riff raff that comes with it. The additional cars on street and parking will be a huge issue Wornall Homestead N Y N	
				I would like to see Bryant School sold to St. Teresa's Academy. This would give them additional brick and mortar space as well as parking opportunities for students without getting rid of the green space near their entry for a planned parking lot. There are lots of people in the area who have an emotional attachment to Bryant School. Seeing it used as a school for children to have art, drama and music, etc. lessons would be a positive for the neighborhood and an opportunity to continue improving the school. Perhaps a lease arrangement with interested parties and there ARE some in the neighborhood or a lease arrangement with St. Teresa's for the blacktop areas for parking. The neighborhood is not in favor of them destroying over a half acre of green space to build a parking lot. Wornall Homestead N N N	
Oppose Support	Neutral	Neutral Oppose	Like the idea of supporting teachers by offering lower cost housing. Opportunity to have teachers live in the district in which they work.	Building is too small to make sufficient number of apartments to cover the cost especially if there is historic designation. Cost of building management would be a nightmare as well as vetting individuals and/or families to live there. Construction, maintenance and additional traffic on an already congested 57th Street will be an issue. On going maintenance of the building and grounds. Wornall Homestead N N Y	
				That it be handled by a high caliber developer with experience in repurposing properties in Midtown. I am in commercial real estate, and can attest to the value of an established track record of success. Properties like The Bryant school always seem to include unforeseen challenges that can be costly. The benefit of the cost efficiency of the initial build out, will not only be felt by the first generation of tenants, but also by future tenants down the line. Wornall Homestead N N N	
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	Based on successful repurposing of other schools in the area and across the country, I believe the school Has the potential to provide attractive housing at a modest cost to those I consider to be grossly underpaid.	Overall, I like the outside the box thinking about utilization of the empty properties. However, the best use of land in this location is community space or single-family residences. This site is not proper for the size of the proposed project and the volume of units and therefore traffic and people. Wornall Homestead N N Y	
Neutral	Strongly Support	Support	Outside the box thinking for Teacher recruitment and retention; saving an old building	Visiblity long-term; increased traffic on a block already hit hard by STA traffic; the high number of units; third party controlling the project and future of the site Wornall Homestead N N Y	
Strongly Oppose Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support Oppose	The Obvious. But it is not a solution, just a bandaid.	This was always a school and should continue to serve as a school. only trust KCPS to manage an education. I do not think Bryant should become an apartment building for teachers. They deserve homes of their own. This is not a solution to the issue of housing nor does it benefit the neighborhood. Schools are the answer. Wornall Homestead Brookside Y Y N N N	
				Any backboard against failure, cost overruns, scope overruns, land and building variances? What is the real impact on neighbors and neighborhoods? The costs of updating the building could be too high. Renovatng and converting old buildings like Bryant is incredibly expensive. I think cheaper and more efficient housing could be developed on the grounds after demolishing the existing school. I would also support demolishing the existing school and replacing it with a new modern middle school. Wornall Homestead N N N	
Oppose	Oppose	Support	I support increasing affordable housing opportunities for teachers within the city.	Have serious concerns about how it's going to be managed. How it's going to be for lack of a better word policed to make sure it is going just for Teacher housing. Concerns about developers trying to do something else with a property if deed restrictions are released. I would be interesting in KC Public Schools demolishing the building and turning it into a park short-term and holding it for future School use Wornall Homestead Y N N	
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Support		KCPS should focus on education not rental properties I do not think the current schools my children would attend will prepare them well. developers want density beyond current zoning easternmost parcel should stay single family homes deed restriction changes should not carry forward if project ends Wornall Homestead N N Y	
Strongly Oppose	Support	Neutral	Nothing	Management structure over the long term. Interested in management responsibilities and experience with similar housing endeavors. Concerned with project structure over the long term including how changes in mission might affect the property's development - anticipating the unknowns while protecting the interests of the surrounding neighborhoods. Complete transparency is required regarding the development agreement including community benefit agreements, contingency planning and the need for reasonable neighborhood approval of modifications to the project's scope and management. Wornall Homestead N N N	
Support	Neutral	Support	May help with hiring/retention of teachers. Fills an affordability need if properly structured.	I'm not sure why Kansas City schools are proposing entering the rental market business when it should spend whatever money it has on teachers or educating its students. Traffic and much increased activity in our neighborhood as well as low income housing that does not seem to be within the CountywideHomeownerAssociationRequirements. This does not seem like a good long-term solution for the close neighborhoods or the KCPS Countryside N N N	
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Nothing.	I grew up in KC. For the past several decades the Kansas City Public Schools have been a disgrace. Why should we bonus bad performance? I'm nearly 75. Just make a decision - even a bad one - before I drop dead. Wornall Homestead N N N	
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Nothing.	Should only be single family homes in that area. Making an apartment complex in the middle of a single family dwelling neighborhood. Additional traffic after completion. Long construction time. What happens if the need changes in a few years - then KCPS could sell the asset - then it is just an apartment complex for sale. The school district mismanaged & now they are desperate to do something. No teacher housing! Wornall Homestead N N N	
Strongly Oppose	Support	Support	Nothing.	STA needs additional parking - KCPS could lease space on the back side of the building immediately to get some use and money out of the property. Wornall Homestead N N Y	
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	I love that it addresses two issues - the need for affordable teacher housing and retaining a building with historical and architectural significance.	I want to ensure the rates are truly affordable, not just "affordable" Other N N N	
				I just don't want the building to be demolished or sit empty. I'm not comfortable opening the resident applicant pool to non-teaching staff. The density of residents housed within the facility is concerning if it were to exceed the outlined proposal. Countryside N N N	
Neutral	Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	It would provide an incentive to attract quality teaching staff to a challenged school district.	I've always thought that Border Star elementary should be moved to Bryant School and Border Star property should be redeveloped. There is always a need for more parking in Brookside and adding more residential density in that area would only be good for the retail and restaurants that are already there. Countryside N N N	
				It doesn't effectively utilize all 4+ acres of the property if only the existing building is being utilized. Don't think it's something KCPS should get into. Too expensive, complicated and outside mandate of being in the school business. Cost of project. Lack of comparable examples presented. Unknowns. Have you considered current multi family developments by private developers that include "affordable" units for teachers that are closer to where teachers work? Show neighborhood examples of similar projects and impacts on community. Countryside N N N	
Oppose	Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Am sympathetic to need for affordable housing generally and in particular to attract and retain KCPS teachers.	I just think it would take a huge investment to bring it up to living standards for either single people or families. Will any new structures be bui T Countryside N N N	
Neutral Strongly Support	Support Strongly Support Oppose	Support Oppose	Increase density and income diversity	I don't have confidence that there are enough plans in place to consider it. Why not take that money and pay teachers more or give them an allowance? I would rather see something there that enhances the area (park, community pool/ playground) or developed with highly restricted architecturally consistent single family homes. Countryside N N Y	
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Neutral	Did not like anything about that proposal. I love the idea of keeping the building as it's a great building and part of the neighborhood. Giving teachers a low-cost housing option is the best idea!! I also think it would be great for our neighborhood to have low-cost housing.	I am concerned about the increased population density and traffic this would cause. Wyandotte and Westover between 55th and 57th Streets already have a speeding problem and this would only add more traffic. We and other families have small children so added population and traffic are concerning. Countryside N N N	
Strongly Oppose Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose Oppose	Support Oppose	Repurpose of existng historic building. Incentive to lure new teachers into school district.	Teacher housing does not add value to the neighborhood. Nor is it safe to have an abandoned building in a community. Sell the property if you can't add value to a community with kids and families. Sell the property if you cannot add value to a neighborhood with kids and families. None of the current proposals add value to the neighborhood with families. Countryside N N Y	
Strongly Oppose	Support	Strongly Oppose	Nothing	Would neighbors have any access to site (e.g. playground or fields)? Who would manage the property? Wornall Homestead N N Y	
Support	Neutral	Oppose	This option retains the historic structure and strengthens KCPS by attracting teachers.	What I really wish is that it could be a neighborhood school like Hale Cook. There are so many young kids in this area. Felling that I would like for it to be a green space -- in particular, a dog park. (Please?) If that cannot happen, I will support the teacher housing. If the building is demolished and the land held by the district for future use, maybe it could become a dog park in the meantime. (Yes, I have a dog.) Countryside N N N	
Support	Oppose	Support	Seems like a good solution for two problems	Look at potential other options for developing the land for athletics/parks/fields/pool, etc. I'm not sure why all that money couldn't be used to turn the school BACK INTO a school. Or a learning center. Or a trade school. Countryside N N Y	
Strongly Support	Neutral	Support	If this idea would help the district attract and retain teachers, I'm all for it. Would be a grand reuse for the old building.	Under no circumstances should the school district be allowed to demo the building and have yet another gaping hole of grass in the neighborhood. There are enough vacant lots in this city. There's enough single family housing too. Countryside Y N N	
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Support	Nothing.		
Support	Support	Oppose	In theory, teachers should make good neighbors, and KCPS is in desperate need of teachers		
Support	Oppose	Strongly Oppose	It's preserves the building and adds multifamily housing	None Countryside Y N N	

Oppose	Oppose		I answered "oppose" because it was clear in the meeting that this was not just for teachers. If it were for teachers only, which it is NOT, I would support the initiative and the restoration and reuse of the building. Give them money let them choose where to live	It is not just for teachers and there was no discussion about how the housing would be connected to contracts of those living there, which I believe it should be.	Please stop falsely referring to the proposed project as "teacher housing" when that is not what it is. Give teachers voucher let them live where they want	Countryside Brookside	N	N	N	Y
Neutral	Support	Strongly Oppose	A Building that is now a blight on the neighborhood could be reused but I am unsure that you can successfully remove the deed restrictions against multi-family.	I am only supportive of a teacher housing proposal that has a private developer. I will personally fight any change to the deed restriction if KCPS retains any ownership or control of the land.	There was an opportunity to create a reuse of this building a decade ago but the district has allowed it become a blight on our fine neighborhood.	Countryside	Y	N	N	N
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Preserves historic seeming building in the neighborhood, fulfills teacher need, keeps a KCPS presence in the neighborhood (which is lacking).	What happens if a teacher quits? Who will manage and maintain the building? How will the rent price be set for teachers?	I really hope this building can be preserved vs demolished. This neighborhood has virtually zero affordable housing and is filled with private schools. Maintaining a KCPS entry in this area would be a positive for the community.	Countryside	Y	N	N	N
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	It sounds like a good use of an old building for an under paid workforce.	Concerns about rental properties in this neighborhood that is owner-occupied.	Traffic is a concern.	Countryside	N	N	N	Y
Strongly Oppose	Support	Oppose	Attractive option for teachers	Would it be for individual teachers? Or teacher and their whole families? How many units maximum? Small number would be much preferred. How long do teachers have to work to be eligible and how long lease before renewal? If there is a lot of tenant turnover, they tend not to care about the neighborhood as much. Do current zoning laws allow multi-tenant structure at this location/area? Prefer multi-tenant structures be banned from this small neighborhood.		Countryside	N	N	Y	
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	I would love to see the old building preserved and housing teachers would be such a great use. Attractual educators less the hands on burden	How would it be limited to teachers? How long would it be preserved for that use? Will KCPS own/operate the building?		Countryside	N	Y	Y	Y
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Rentilz rates across KC have substantially increased, whereas teacher pay hasn't. I like that we're recognizing that, and a method to encourage ways, to allow teachers to live within the city they work. Nothing	Generally the costs to develop a project like this. It seems that this will require a substantial amount of public and private investment to make it work, and right now there doesn't seem to be incentive for that kind of capital investment. Use this money to give them a raise instead	While you have the ability to demolish, I wish you wouldn't. One of the greatest appeals of Kansas City is its preservation of architecture and the building itself is quite beautiful.	Countryside	N	N	N	N
Support	Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Provides hopefully affordable housing	traffic no. of units 2	no of units timeframe any new construct? 1.8 Parking spaces per unit	Countryside	N	N	N	Y
Strongly Support	Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	I think it's a terrible idea that doesn't bring value to the local community	Increased traffic and density is not what this area needs	Consider alternative ways to use the space Don't destroy this once beautiful old building just to develop ugly modern homes or worse, leave it sat vacant. That space can and should be put to good use to support the people who educate and nurture the future of this neighborhood every day.	Brookside	N	N	Y	
Strongly Support	Oppose	Strongly Oppose	I want KCPS to compete for the best & brightest young teachers in the city. And if we want them to work at our schools educating our children, we should be providing an affordable place to live nearby.	As long as the housing is of good quality and the existing exterior style of the building is preserved so that it fits in with the rest of the neighborhood, I don't have any real concerns.		Waldo	N	N	Y	
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	It preserves the beautiful historic building. I live three doors away - and have watched with sadness as the site deteriorated. I'd rather just see our teachers paid affordable wages, but since that seems to be politically unfeasible, this at least gives them a good place to live.	will there still be green space available to the neighborhoods? I've liked having the playground there and would hate to see the entire site turned into just another block of houses.	I'd hate to see the building torn down - once it's gone, it's gone.	Ward Estates	N	N	N	Y
Support	Oppose	Strongly Oppose	I have encountered many teachers who could not afford to move out of their parents homes, due to high debt-to-income ratios, primarily from student loans. Teacher salaries are low in the KC district, especially for new teachers, which is shameful. It is commendable and long overdue that the basic needs of teachers is being addressed. Showing teachers that they really are a vital and important contributor to Kansas City being the "world class city" it claims to be is past due. Converting this vacant property into affordable housing for teachers addresses a basic need for this group of citizens. More proposals like this are needed.	Why was this property so long? Why are KCPS teacher salaries so low? The apartments should only be available to those currently employed as full-time licensed teachers to encourage collaboration and to reassure the neighborhood of the structures and requirements.	How can I keep up with the progress on this project?	Other	N	N	N	N
Strongly Support	Neutral	Oppose	Affordable housing for teachers is always a concern in encouraging new teachers to relocate to KC. This would also give a wonderful opportunity for collaboration and sharing of ideas between teachers living there.	None	Any opportunities to provide for and further support qualified teachers should always be pursued in creative ways.	Brookside	N	N	N	N
Strongly Support	Oppose	Support	Brilliant idea that fills a need.	I like the idea of denser housing in this neighborhood, and to build it for a specific affordable use for teachers is a honorum. I think it will attract more teachers to the district and bring life to the neighborhood.	The last thing this neighborhood needs is single family housing. If infill development happens, it should be dense. This neighborhood and it's emenities, like Loose Park and the future streetcar stop, are great amenities that an apartment developer would love, so keeping the status quo of single family development on this currently vacant site would be a step in the wrong direction entirely.	Other	N	N	N	N
Strongly Support	Oppose	Support	Reuses the building. Could add in community use room, park, gym.	None	This is a great idea that helps teachers and makes use of existing real estate. A winner all around.	Waldo	N	Y	N	N
Support	Oppose	Neutral	Reuse is typically preferred over created more waste and teachers are not able to afford the areas they teach in which has a negative impact. I hope they would put more housing in the large parking lots and field area.	I don't have concerns	I'd like to see added use for community: use the gym and land as a brookside community center	Brookside	N	N	N	N
Support	Support	Strongly Oppose	Nothing	How they would afford keeping rents low enough for teachers and what they would do with the large unused parking lots and land.	You don't need any more unused liabilities on your balance sheet! Sell it or develop it and help mitigate this housing crisis.	Other	N	N	N	N
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Affordable housing for teachers in highly sought after neighborhood	Connection at the intersection, more cars, more noise		Countryside Armour Fields	N	N	N	Y
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Keeping that fabulous and historic building which I can see from my front door. My children went to Bryant. Get the historic designation and make amazing apartments that a teacher can afford	Is it cost effective?	I am willing to serve on a board or some pro development group could part of that property be used as parking for Saint Theresa's students	Countryside	N	N	N	N
Support	Oppose	Neutral	We have a lack of affordable housing in this city and this proposal would address that, as well as the teacher shortage nearly every school is experiencing.	It can be very expensive to redo the inside of the building and Bryant is not a very attractive building. Concerns for increased traffic, foot traffic, not sure of parking, unclear of full plan executions	Please consider a school option.	Wornall Homestead	N	N	N	Y
Strongly Oppose	Support	Support	Nothing	None. I think this is a wonderful opportunity for our community. As someone who lives in Wornall homestead I would welcome this.		Wornall Homestead	Y	Y	N	
Strongly Oppose	Neutral	Neutral	Incentivizing the bringing and retention of quality teachers.	That this will lower the value of our neighborhood and our houses. How would these units be allocated? Who will manage the property if it is completed? Will this project make economic sense without tax credits? Why not build or lease more economical and purpose built housing?	I think there are other uses for this for the KCPS that would more benefit the neighborhood and the community!	Wornall Homestead	N	N	Y	
Oppose	Support	Strongly Oppose	Willin't support teachers in the area and would very much love to see this use case placed elsewhere I have MASSIVE concerns for the surrounding neighborhood and the allocation of this particular school.	I think it would drastically drive down property values and hurt the neighborhood feel that we all value as members of Kansas City/Brookside area. It will cost millions of dollars for very few units. This project will go over budget. KCPS doesn't maintain the buildings they have now- (Southwest High for example) will this one also be ignored re: maintenance? How can rents be kept way under market value yet maintain the building? Traffic & the cost to maintain the project. You can not ensure all residents will be employed teachers.	Would St. Teresa's academy be interested in the property? This fits the educational role designated for the land and could provide income to the district.	Countryside	N	N	Y	
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Repurposing older building. Helps teachers.	Nothing	Need cost projection and how will rents be kept under market value. What assurances does the neighborhood have that the building will be maintained? How will a developer be chosen?	Brookside	N	N	N	N
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Neutral	Attracting quality teachers	Like the benefit of attracting quality teachers.	Consider a recreational use of the property	Countryside	N	N	Y	
Strongly Oppose	Support	Strongly Oppose	Building reuse and affordable teacher housing for recruitment and retention	You can not ensure that all occupants will be employed teachers. Would prefer it be exclusively for KCPS teachers, no charters, private or parochial		Countryside	N	N	Y	
Strongly Support	Oppose	Support	Nothing	What criteria would a KCPS employee need to meet in order to qualify for housing? What are the housing security measures would be implemented for entrance/exit of the building? Would security be provided on site? We have been in our home for several years and the vacant building has allowed the opportunity for vandalism, break ins and suspicious activities occurring in the parking lot. This increased after wires in the building were cut by vandals and parking lot lights were not in order.		Armour Hills	Y	N	N	N
Neutral	Support	Strongly Support	I like that teachers would have the opportunity to receive affordable housing opportunities within the school district and the potential for increased quality applicants for positions.	I have not seen anything that states how the property is exclusive to teachers and associated KCPS staff. What happens when you can't fill all apartments with KCPS teachers and staff, etc? Additionally I'm concerned about parking 27-40 units is going to be somewhere between 27-60 vehicles. Kansas City is not walker friendly (unfortunately) how does parking play into this?	I'm happy to see that it is being considered as an investment in our community! It's a beautiful building and I would hope it would be able to be put to use.	Countryside	N	N	Y	
Oppose	Support	Support	Nothing	I have not seen anything that states how the property is exclusive to teachers and associated KCPS staff. What happens when you can't fill all apartments with KCPS teachers and staff, etc? Additionally I'm concerned about parking 27-40 units is going to be somewhere between 27-60 vehicles. Kansas City is not walker friendly (unfortunately) how does parking play into this?		Wornall Homestead	Y	Y	N	N
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	It creates affordable housing in a desirable neighborhood that teachers would otherwise not be able to afford to live in. It reduces commuting time for teachers/staff, which is good for retention and also for the environment. I like that it preserves the existing building. Active use.	If KCPS converts the building to housing, I urge KCPS to make the building all-electric and energy efficient and include modern, efficient electric appliances like induction stoves, heat pump water heaters, and heat pumps for heating/cooling. This will make utilities more affordable for the residents and will align with KCMA's climate plan, which calls for eliminating fossil fuels, including natural gas, from buildings and homes.	For the scenario where the school is converted to teacher housing, and additional housing is built on the east side of the property, I would encourage KCPS to build townhomes that fit the style of the neighborhood (no plan boxes) along the east side of the property instead of single family homes.	Wornall Homestead	N	N	Y	
Strongly Support	Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Preserves the historic building. Good repurpose/reuse. Supporting teachers in affordable housing.	How tract 4 single family homes would blend in [any historic overlay issues]? Once the property goes on the historic register, what happens if the teacher housing project falls through?		Countryside	N	N	N	N
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Desperate need, clear public purpose. Neighborhood currently lacks diverse housing options (i.e. anything but single family detached). We need apartments, townhomes, and condos.	How to make long term sustainable? You could consider cross-subsidization with some market rate units. Perhaps on tract 4. Just building more high end single family is a huge missed opportunity. Be careful that any rezoning for hybrid multi/single family doesn't create a problem later.	Perhaps we need another alternative. Teacher housing and new infill market rate townhomes or condos on tract 4.	Wornall Homestead	N	N	Y	
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Neutral	Great idea that solves several issues. Adaptive reuse is important. Do not support demolition and filling it with new construction.	Sounds like you are making Bryant school a HUD section 8 housing with guise of "helping teachers" but this won't be just for teachers. It's a very low Gr or non-existent income individual.		Countryside	N	N	N	N
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	I like the idea of helping teachers who are grossly underpaid for all they do		Have we thought about making the school into a botanical garden learning center or make into a community garden?	Wornall Homestead	Y	Y	N	N
Strongly Oppose	Neutral	Strongly Support	Nothing		All of your goals for teacher housing could be addressed with much lower barriers and many more units, with more modern accommodations at the Bingham sight	Countryside	N	N	N	N

			I think this housing could be good for recruitment/retention. I also think this could be a place to build a real sense of community among KCPS teachers/employees. I like that teachers/employees could live in affordable housing close to their schools.	I don't like the idea of opening up this as a housing option for private or charter school teachers. I think since it has been a long-time KCPS property, it should be used for KCPS employees. I do think you could provide this as a housing option for LINC employees who work in KCPS's schools.	If the decision is to repurpose the Bryant building, like the idea of some single family homes on the east side of the property if there is room. I recommended looking for a developer who will not drastically change the exterior look of the building. I think the exterior of the Blenheim School looks fabulous. I do not like the exterior of the Crestwood Condos. For example, I think the dark windows look great on the exterior of the Blenheim building. I do not like the white windows next to the dark brick on the Crestwood Condos. Plus, I think the addition at the top of the Crestwood Condos looks tacky.	Ward Estates	Y	N	N
Support	Oppose	Oppose		I oppose selling/developing the east portion (Tract 4) of the property into additional residences, including because I think the number of proposed residences is too dense for the immediate neighborhood and the size of the proposed residences does not appear commensurate with many in the immediate neighborhood.		Countryside	N	N	Y
Strongly Oppose Strongly Support	Strongly Support Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose Strongly Support	Nothing positive to improve neighborhood. Space not to be rezoned for public housing.	Neighborhood cannot manage additional traffic either for parking, exit and entrance to area, or additional density to location	Your timeline to initiate action to property is poor planning. KCPS is not a good neighbor.	Countryside Other	N N	N N	N N
Strongly Support	Neutral	Oppose	It's needed and would bring vibrancy to the neighborhood. I love having an unused jungle gym nearby, but would love it more if it were used by kids.	That my neighbors are curmudgeons and will strive to uphold the pledge of J.K.Nichols...	Just a general note of encouragement for the teacher housing conversion plan! And even more general hope for expediency because it's too nice a spot to go unused much longer... Also it's a cool building and it'd be nice to not watch its demolition. Charter school, sale to STA, anything like that would be acceptable. Also, very displeased that a communication the meeting in April was not sent to my residence. I live across the street from Bryant.	Countryside	N	N	N
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Neutral	Nothing. This is a single family residential neighborhood and should remain that way.	That you go through with it. See above.		Ward Estates	N	N	Y
Neutral	Oppose	Oppose	It would keep the historic integrity of the neighborhood.	I am concerned that rezoning the property now will affect what is used for in the future.	If the property is rezoned for teacher housing I am curious what happens to it if there is not a need for teacher housing or if they can't fill it years down the road?	Countryside	N	N	N
Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	It keeps this historic building from being demolished.	1) It seems like a highly inefficient way to compensate teachers. It would make much more sense to pay teachers more money and let them decide how they want to spend it. 2) It is troubling that money is potentially available now (both government grant through national register listing + philanthropic) but was not available years ago when the school needed it to stay open. 3) It is troubling that the school could qualify for both public and national register when the most historic alternative use (sale to another school) is not being contemplated. That seems hypocritical.	Our family would make great use of a public swimming pool at the location. Your team of evaluators does not live next door to the Bryant School. Remember that. If this is truly meant to be teacher housing, let it directly by year-to-year contracts. NO LDW INCOME HOUSING for random city employees!!!	Countryside	N	N	Y
Strongly Oppose	Support	Support	If it were truly for TEACHER housing and tied to annual contracts, I would support it fully.	This is a bait and switch. You are using the words teacher housing but in the meeting last week you said it would be open to others, thus making it low income housing, NOT teacher housing. I have major concerns about that as this property is literally in my backyard. STOP referring to this as teacher housing if you are opening it up to ANYONE other than certified teachers.	Sell the building to another school Just pay teachers more	Countryside	N	N	N
Oppose Strongly Oppose	Oppose Support	Oppose Support	Nothing Not much	It would seem to be too expensive to rehab Bryant into multi family housing. Also, multi family housing is not allowed by the deed restrictions, except with the special use permit, which causes problems in another's self. I do not agree at all with this option and believe it should be sold to another school. Traffic, not zoned for MHF, doesn't fit the neighborhood	Is there an opportunity for this to be a repurposed for seniors? We have many people who have lived in this neighborhood/raised their families & when it's time to downsize from large 8/or 2 story homes there are not many options...if wanting to stay in the area. Many have moved to the apt's at S1 & Main, which has proved to be a fabulous option, but feel like there is room for more	Country Club Homes Wornall Homestead	N N	Y N	Y N
Oppose	Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Repurpose of the bldg. Would hate to see it torn down. Seems wasteful	Uncertainty of who will be coming into neighborhood. If it's not all teachers, and opened up to other school employees, there is concern (at that rent) what type of individuals we could encounter. Or the friends/acquaintances that may be visiting, hanging around etc....And who is going to provide security/maintenance/lookups of the property.	I appreciate your sharing info with and seeking feedback from the Bryant School neighbors. I think that giving some of the districts' teachers a reasonably priced housing option while making use of a beautiful old building is a win-win! As a parent whose 3 kids grew up here and are now living elsewhere (one lives abroad) but who I hope will consider coming back to KC, plans like this make me more optimistic for our city's ability to attract more young people (back) to KC!	Countryside	N	N	N
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	It's a great idea as a way to make use of the building and land for a good purpose! Housing is expensive and teacher pay is lower than needed to pay for housing, especially for new teachers. This is a good solution.	I'm curious how teachers will be prioritized for this housing. Assume that is all to be worked out.	My property connects to Bryant. I strongly oppose any plan that demolishes the historic building. I oppose other uses of the site that aren't school related. I believe it should be a school building first and foremost. I oppose additional housing being built on the site. To use Bryant as teacher housing is fine if it keeps the building standing. I don't like seeing up to 10 properties on tract 4. That space should remain grounds for the teachers and the neighborhood rather than private housing, and 10 houses to too many for that space.	Country Club Homes	N	N	N
Support	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Oppose	The building should be used for some school related purpose, and teacher housing kind of fits that. Teachers will be good neighbors.	Is it true that it is either teacher housing or the building gets demolished? Is this subsidized housing? Will there be a covenant that says it will only be teachers? Also interested to know if there are rules if they leave the profession, have a roommate, etc.. A note that \$800 was a target rent was shared at the meeting, as was the starting teacher pay. I assume they earn annual raises as well. To make the financials come together better I believe you can charge more than \$800/month in rent for Bryant, even for new teachers. 27-40 units at Westover and Wornall is a tremendous location for a young teacher to live. They will save money on their commute and also by using the many public parks and trails nearby. This site, even subdivided and even for someone who makes \$48k-\$55k a year could probably ask for \$1000-\$1200 and they're still fit like they are getting a steal.	I like the potential for community use of the grounds and common spaces [within reason] . I went to the initial meeting at Hale Cook and was struck by how important preserving the Bryant building is to the neighborhood surrounding the building. Reusing the school will help build community partners as we face the bond vote in April.	Countryside	N	N	Y
Support	Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Building reuse and preservation , potential for teacher retention, likely neighborhood support It sounds like a great sustainable plan to help with recruitment if teachers to KCPS. It preserves a cool old building.	I hope the quality of the housing is A+. Who will manage/run pay for operations over time? A non-profit or a management firm?	Again--do what you are good at-Education. Hire someone to build teacher housing on a scraped piece of land and you will solve your issues within a school year. Sell Bryant and put a timely solution on your pocket!	Other Waldo	Y Y	N N	N N
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Teachers need affordable housing. But this is too expensive, has too few units to make it "move the needle" and will take way too long to accomplish. Your needs are NOW. Westport High is opening affordable units in a few months. Teachers will prefer living in complexes with other professionals. Other units are opening and developers are reaching out to the district offering their affordable units to teachers. Use what our city is building and bringing to market now	See above. Use your resources (including your staff time) to fix our school buildings and work on the go bond, not venture into housing development. Our neighborhood has been too patient for too long with the district about Bryant. This conversation about teacher housing began years ago --and we are still watching Bryant deteriorate. The processes and funding needed to turn Bryant into housing will take another many years. Meanwhile, we are stuck with your blight. Stick with what you are good at--education. Sell Bryant and let someone who is good at development do something good with this site. If Bryant is sold, district gets rid of an annual expense and liability and gains tax revenue from 20-25 single family homes...probably before you can even get a permit to begin work on a very expensive repurposing project that will take years to complete.	At the 4/25 meeting, the fellow involved with historic designation processes was most encouraging on \$. But I also suspect that major gifts could be possible through Health Forward and other foundations.	Countryside	N	N	N
Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	Obvious benefits to KCMSD in teacher recruiting/retention. But also, important benefits to the community: (1) saves/restores historic, cool building, highly visible in neighborhood, (2) avoids new-build homes on-site, which seldom look right in a historic neighborhood, (3) adds diversity to the neighborhood age, socio-economic, profession, and to some degree race/ethnicity, and (4) don't know how to express it, but as a couple who've lived here for 33 years, this would "stir the pot" in the best possible way. Can't wait to host a Welcome to the Neighborhood get-together!	Obviously will need lots of strategic planning on the intricacies on the historic designation + renovation needs, financing, retaining control over the development, etc., but you're on top of that. Also, keep up with all the outreach/communication - nothing is worse than a bunch of people who feel slighted, though that does indeed require Herculean patience to endure! First, Subsidised housing clearly cannot be the best and highest use for this land. Second, as a resident with small children, the traffic situation when St Theresa's day ends is terrible. What's more, there is a day care across Wornall Road at the same intersection. Adding 27 to 40+ units (meaning roughly 50 to 150 more people) is a serious issue.	This land is too valuable for use as single family dwellings to dedicate it as subsidized housing. Selling the land [anecdotally creating a subsequent new property tax base] could provide the proceeds to build new construction that far exceeds the capacity of the existing school footprint.	Country Club Homes	N	N	N
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Neutral	Northwestportofindustrialislandbuildingthatresignificantfinancialresourcesstarting current (including environmental remediation) is perhaps the worst case for a subsidized housing solution. Second, it was clear in the meeting at Hale Cook on 4/26/24 that this is not just teacher housing but generally available to public employees.	The idea of a multi family/potential low income housing situation is concerning. The fact that it would be managed by an outside company, no real restrictions on who can live there and the fact that it would act as a transient home situation is worrisome. I am also concerned that bringing 27-40+ people will cause a huge traffic concern.	I strongly oppose the teacher housing initiative. It is not best use of the land.	Wornall Homestead Countryside	N N	N N	Y Y
Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Strongly Oppose	Sorry, neither building or neighborhood designated for that.	Surrounding neighborhoods zoned as single family residences	For a decade neighbors were told it was being held to duplicate the successful model of Hale Cook	Countryside	N	N	Y
			*Some responses have been redacted to remove personal information (names, addresses, etc.)						